(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 3554 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Panne Lal Sonwani, Son of Shri Bansudhari, aged about 30 years, Occupation-Service, Presently working as Patwari, Halka NO. 16,R I Circle Darima Ambikapur , Tahsil - Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 3620/06
Presented by Shri Ganesh Gupta
dated 12/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through : The Secretary, Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Commissioner, (Land Records), Raipur (C.G.).

3. The Collector, District Surguja, Ambikapur (C.G.).

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MISHRA

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.3554 **सन्** 2006

30

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19.7.2006:- Shri P.P. Sahu, counsel for the petitioner. Miss Dipali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 7-7-2006 whereby he has been transferred from Patwari Halka No.16, RI Circle Darima, District Surguja to Patwari Halka No.72, Tehsil Surajpur, District Surguja at his own expenses. The main grievance raised by the petitioner in this petition is that he had never requested for his transfer. Without his request the petitioner has been transferred ~~at the present place of posting~~ on his own expenses showing that the transfer has been made on his request. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, as per the allegations of the petitioner that the petitioner never requested for his transfer whereas, he has been shown to be transferred at his request, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the	

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

31

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	representation, in an objective manner, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner and pass appropriate order, in accordance with law. Till then, the petitioner should not be relieved, if he has already not relieved. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2746/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Barve

P.T.O.

20.9.06